The Growth of the Nevanlinna Proximity Function and the Logarithmic Potential

DAVID DRASIN & ALLEN WEITSMAN¹

Communicated by MAURICE HEINS

1. Introduction. Let f(z) be a nonconstant entire function. We shall study the growth of the Nevanlinna proximity function

$$m(r, a) = m(r, a, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(re^{i\theta}) - a|} d\theta,$$

for varying values of a. In addition to the standard conventions and notations of Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions, we assume some familiarity with basic definitions of the theory of the (logarithmic) potential (cf. [15; Ch. III]). Indeed, it is a connection between these two studies which forms the basis of this work.

We consider the following problem:

If f(z) is of order $\rho(\leq \infty)$, determine for how large a set A can

(1.1)
$$\lim m(r, a) = \infty \quad \text{for every} \quad a \in A.$$

Our answer is contained in two theorems. The first considers the case $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$:

Theorem 1. Given any set A of capacity zero and $\frac{1}{2} < \rho \leq \infty$, there exists an entire function of order ρ such that (1.1) is satisfied.

In §2 we shall see that (1.1) cannot hold for sets A "larger" than of capacity zero; thus Theorem 1 is best possible. On the other hand, if $\rho < \frac{1}{2}$, no function can satisfy (1.1) if A is nonempty; in fact, the "cos $\pi \rho$ theorem" [14; p. 275] asserts that a nonconstant entire function of order $\rho < \frac{1}{2}$ cannot have bounded minimum modulus.

It thus remains to consider what happens if $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$. While the set A determined by (1.1) now need not be empty, we find that it must be a "small" set of capacity zero (see Remark (A) of §9):

Theorem 2. Let A be a set in the complex plane. Then there exists an entire

¹ This research was supported in part by N.S.F. grant GP-9454.