Boundary Behavior of Non-parametric Minimal Surfaces

ENRICO GIUSTI

Communicated by DAVID GILBARG

1. It is well known that the construction of barriers is an essential step in the proof of the existence and regularity of the solutions to the Dirichlet problem for nonlinear, non-uniformly elliptic equations.

In particular, Jenkins and Serrin ([3]) have considered the equation of non-parametric minimal surfaces in an open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^n , and using the barrier technique have proved that there exists a unique solution to the minimal surface equation in Ω , which takes prescribed values $\varphi(x)$ on the boundary of Ω , provided $\partial\Omega$ is a C^3 -hypersurface with non-negative mean curvature, and the function φ is twice-continuously differentiable. This solution is twice-continuously differentiable in all of Ω . Also, if $\partial\Omega$ and the boundary data are more regular, say $C^{k+\alpha}$ with $k \geq 2$, then the solution is $C^{k+\alpha}$ in all of Ω . On the other hand, by means of an approximation technique (see [4]), one can show the existence and the uniqueness of a continuous non-parametric minimal surface, provided the function $\varphi(x)$ is continuous and the condition on the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ is satisfied.

The a priori gradient estimate of Bombieri, De Giorgi and M. Miranda ([1]) implies that this solution is analytic in Ω , and hence is a solution to the Dirichlet problem for the equation of minimal surfaces with continuous boundary data.

The aim of this paper is to study the global regularity (i.e., regularity up to the boundary) of minimal surfaces in the intermediate case in which the function $\varphi(x)$ is more than merely continuous, but is not twice differentiable. We get the following results:

- a) If φ belongs to $C^{1+\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, then the solution u(x) is Lipschitz-continuous up to the boundary.
- b) If φ is Lipschitz-continuous, then u(x) is Hölder-continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$, with some positive exponent σ .

The statement (b) can be improved if we make an additional assumption on $\partial\Omega$. In fact, we have: