## On the Operator Equation HT + TH = 2K

## GERT K. PEDERSEN

1. Introduction. Let H and K be bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and consider the equation

$$(*) HT + TH = 2K$$

with T in  $B(\mathfrak{C})$ . This equation (often written with a minus instead of plus) has a long story starting in matrices and ending up in Banach algebras (see [7], [8], [3], [2]). It was solved by E. Heinz in [4, Satz 5] for the case where the numerical range of H is bounded away from zero. Our setting is slightly different since we demand  $H \geq 0$ , but allow zero in the spectrum of H (but not as an eigenvalue). We present two formulas for the (unique) solution, one where T is a differential, the other where T is a barycenter of operators unitarily equivalent to  $H^{-1/2}KH^{-1/2}$ . The emphasis is thus on  $B(\mathfrak{X})$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra, not just a Banach algebra.

The corresponding equation for functionals  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on von Neumann algebras, i.e.  $\varphi(T\cdot) + \varphi(\cdot T) = 2\psi$ , has been studied by S. Sakai and by A.v. Daele, who in [1] reduced it to the equation (\*), but with unbounded H and K. We shall use the techniques from [1] but only for the bounded case, which is considerably simpler and consequently allows more complete answers.

- 2. Barycenter solution. Assume that H is positive and non-singular. Since (\*) is a linear equation and the transformation  $T \to HT + TH$  preserves adjoints, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $K = K^*$ . Note that (\*) has at most one solution. For if HT + TH = 0 then since the operators HT,  $H^{1/2}TH^{1/2}$  and TH have the same spectrum (zero apart) we conclude from HT = -TH that  $H^{1/2}TH^{1/2} = 0$ , whence T = 0 since H is non-singular.
- **2.1. Lemma** (v. Daele). If  $K = H^{1/2}AH^{1/2}$  with A in  $B(\mathfrak{R})$ , then the solution to (\*) is

$$T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H^{it} A H^{-it} (\cosh (\pi t))^{-1} dt.$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $H \ge \epsilon I$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . In that case the function  $f: z \to H^{iz+1}AH^{-iz}$  is analytic in the upper half plane. Using the fact that i/2 is the