Weak Estimates for the Parabolic Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function Through Unbounded Sets in R^m

FELIPE ZO & HUGO ALVAREZ

1. Introduction and results. This note is concerned with the problem of extending the Lebesgue differentiation theorem of the integral in the euclidean space R^m , when the differentiation is carried out through a suitable family of unbounded sets. The first result in this direction appeared in [1], where a homothetic family to a star-shaped set is considered. We can also call any of these sets an isotropic dilation of a star-like set. Our interest is to replace isotropic dilations by non-isotropic ones.

First some notations. For an x in R^m and $\lambda > 0$ we denote by $\lambda \cdot x$ the λ non-isotropic dilation of x. More precisely, following the notation in [3], $\lambda \cdot x = T_{\lambda}x = \exp(P\log\lambda)$ (x), where P is an $m \times m$ real positive definite matrix, the infinitesimal generator of the group $\{T_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda>0}$. As is well known, det $T_{\lambda} = \lambda^a$, a = trace P. The euclidean norm of x in R^m will be denoted by |x|, and ||x|| will stand for the metric associated to the family of non-isotropic dilations. It is known that $||\lambda \cdot x|| = \lambda ||x||$. More details can be found in [3].

Throughout this note E will be a measurable set in R^m which is star-like with respect to $\{T_{\lambda}\}$, that is $E_{\lambda} = T_{\lambda}E \subseteq E$ if $0 < \lambda \le 1$. Moreover E will have finite measure. The problem concerning us will be the existence of the limit

(1.1)
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{1}{|E_{\lambda}|} \int_{E_{\lambda}} f(x+y) \, dy \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

for $f \in L^p(R^m)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. As is usual we shall estimate the maximal function $f^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda>0} (1/|E_{\lambda}|) \int_{E_{\lambda}} |f(x+y)| dy$. Since in our context there is no result similar to Lemma 2.1 in [1], it is not possible to find estimates for f^* using only the argument given there.

It is easy to obtain $||f^*||_p \le C_p ||f||_p$ for p > 1. In fact, if $\phi(x)$, |x| = 1, denotes the boundary function of the set E, that is if $\phi(x) = \sup \{\lambda > 0: \lambda \cdot x \in E\}$, we have: $x \in E$ iff $||x|| \le \phi(x')$, where $x' = ||x||^{-1} \cdot x$. Now, using polar-like coordinates, see [3], we have